

# Nuclear Structure for 0vββ Low Energy Community Meeting - 10 August 2020

FRIB-TA Annual Meeting

### Saori Pastore Washington University in St Louis

https://physics.wustl.edu/quantum-monte-carlo-group

Quantum Monte Carlo Group @ WashU Lorenzo Andreoli (PD) Jason Bub (GS) Garrett King (GS) Maria Piarulli and Saori Pastore

Computational Resources awarded by the DOE ALCC and INCITE programs

# Nuclei for Fundamental Symmetries & Neutrinos

Nuclei are used for precision tests of the standard model and in searches for physics beyond the standard model.

An accurate understanding of nuclear structure and dynamics in a wide range of energy and momentum transferred is required in order to disentangle new physics from nuclear effects.

In this talk, I will focus on the role of two- and three-body correlations and currents in selected nuclear electroweak observables at different kinematics.

The emphasis will be on light nuclei (A  $\leq$  12). For these systems Variational and Green's Function Monte Carlo methods allow to retain many-body effects and provide results with a computational accuracy (in most cases) of the order of few percents.



# Strategy

### Validate the Nuclear Model against available data for strong and electroweak observables

- Energy Spectra, Electromagnetic Form Factors, Electromagnetic Moments, ...
- Electromagnetic and Beta decay rates, ...
- Muon Capture Rates, ...
- Electron-Nucleus Scattering Cross Sections, ...

### Use attained information to make (accurate) predictions for BSM searches and precision tests

- EDMs, Anapole Moments, Hadronic PV, ...
- BSM searches with beta decay, ...
- Neutrinoless double beta decay, ...
- Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering Cross Sections, ...
- ...

# Many-body Nuclear Interactions

Many-body Nuclear Hamiltonian

$$H = T + V = \sum_{i=1}^{A} t_i + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \dots$$

 $v_{ij}$  and  $V_{ijk}$  are two- and three-nucleon operators based on experimental data fitting; fitted parameters subsume underlying QCD dynamics



Contact term: short-range Two-pion range: intermediate-range  $r\propto (2\,m_\pi)^{-1}$  One-pion range: long-range  $r\propto m_\pi^{-1}$ 



#### In Quantum Monte Carlo methods we use:

AV18+UIX; AV18+IL7 Wiringa, Schiavilla, Pieper *et al.* chiral  $\pi N N2LO+N2LO$  Gerzelis, Tews, Lynn *et al.* chiral  $\pi N\Delta N3LO+N2LO$  Piarulli *et al.* Norfolk Models

# Many-body Nuclear Electroweak Currents



- One-body currents: non-relativistic reduction of covariant nucleons' currents
- Two-body currents are a manifestation of two-nucleon correlations
- Electromagnetic two-body currents are required to satisfy current conservation

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j} = [H, \rho] = [t_i + v_{ij} + V_{ijk}, \rho]$$

Nuclear Charge Operator

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \rho_i + \sum_{i < j} \rho_{ij} + \dots$$

Nuclear (Vector) Current Operator



Magnetic Moment: Single Particle Picture

### **Neutrino-Nucleus Interactions**

Low energy and momentum: Beta Decay Matrix Elements



Gysbers et al. NaturePhys15(2019)

High Energy (on Nuclear Physics Scale): Neutrino-Nucleus Cross Section



Alvarez-Ruso arXiv:1012.3871

# Beta Decay and Electron Capture in Light Nuclei

0.96 1	1.04	0.96	1 1.04	0.96	1 1.04	0.96	1 1.04
$^{3}$ H $\beta$ -decay		<sup>6</sup> He β-decay		<sup>7</sup> Be $\varepsilon$ -cap(gs)		<sup>7</sup> Be $\varepsilon$ -cap(ex)	
0 •	1	G	   		0.0	C	
			♥ ♥     	~		~	▼   
<sup>8</sup> Li β-decay		<sup>8</sup> B β-decay		<sup>8</sup> He β-decay		<sup>10</sup> C β-decay	
	/2+3-Ia /2+3-Ia* /18+IL7	0•					● <b>○</b>
0.4 0.6	0.8 1	0.4 0.6	0.8 1	0.4 0.6	0.8 1	1	1.1

G. King et al. to appear in PRC(2020) arXiv:2004.05263

Calculations based on

- chiral interactions and currents NV2+3-la Norfolk unstarred NV2+3-la\* Norfolk\* starred Piarulli *et al.* PRL120(2018)052503 Baroni *et al.* PRC98(2018)044003
- phenomenological AV18+IL7 potential and chiral axial currents (hybrid calculation)

Two-body currents are small/negligible; Results for A=6-7 are within 2% of data; Results for A=8 are off by a 30-40%; Results for A=10 are affected by the second  $J^{\pi}$ =(1<sup>+</sup>) state in <sup>10</sup>B

### Three-body Force and the Axial Contact Current





Three-body force

Axial two-body contact current

### LECs $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{D}}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{F}}$ are fitted to:

- trinucleon B.E. and *nd* doublet scattering length in NV2+3-Ia
- trinucleon B.E. and Gamow-Teller matrix element of tritium NV2+3-la\*

Baroni et al. PRC98(2018)044003

## **Axial Two-body Transition Density**



#### NV2+3-la; NV2+3-la\*

enhanced contribution from contact current in the starred model gives rise to nodes in the two-body transition density

Two-body axial currents



long-range at N2LO and N3LO



contact current at N3LO

### Scaling and Universality of Short-Range Dynamics



NV2+3-Ia empty circles; NV2+3-Ia\* stars Different colors refer to different transitions

## **Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay**



Nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless double beta decay are required to extract the neutrino parameters from the decay rate (if the process is observed)

Matrix elements for nuclei of experimental interest are currently affected by large uncertainties due to truncation in the model space and partial (or missing) inclusion of many-body effects

We study neutrinoless double beta decay in **light nuclei** that have been successfully described by *ab initio* models where correlations and currents can be fully accounted for

These studies serve as benchmark and to establish the relevance of the various two-body (or more) dynamics inducing the decay

### Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay Matrix Elements



 $\nu$   $\pi$   $\pi$  NN

Cirigliano Dekens DeVries Graesser Mereghetti *et al.* PLB769(2017)460, JHEP12(2017)082, PRC97(2018)065501

- Leading operators in neutrinoless double beta decay are two-body operators
- These observables are particularly sensitive to short-range and two-body physics
- Transition densities calculated in momentum space indicate that the momentum transfer in this process is of the order of ~ 200 MeV

# **Comparison with Shell-Model Calculations**



X. Wang et al. PLB798(2019)134974

Closer agreement between Shell-Model calculations with Variational Monte Carlo results is reached by

- Increasing the size of the model space
- Wood-Saxon single particle wave functions are superion in describing the tails of the densities wrt harmonic oscillator wave functions
- Phenomenological Short-Range-Correlations functions further improve the agreement

### Lepton-Nucleus scattering: Inclusive Processes

Electromagnetic Nuclear Response Functions

$$R_{\alpha}(q,\omega) = \sum_{f} \delta\left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) \left|\langle f | O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle\right|^2$$

Longitudinal response induced by the charge operator  $O_L = \rho$ Transverse response induced by the current operator  $O_T = j$ 5 Responses in neutrino-nucleus scattering

The Quantum Monte Carlo community at LANL, ANL, JLAB delivered calculations of inclusive responses of both electron and neutrino scattering from nuclei with mass number A≤12

For a recent review see Rocco Front.inPhys.8 (2020)116

## Lepton-Nucleus scattering: Data

5

Transverse Sum Rule

 $S_T(q) \propto \langle 0 | \mathbf{j}^{\dagger} \mathbf{j} | 0 \rangle \propto \langle 0 | \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \mathbf{j}_{1b} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \mathbf{j}_{2b} | 0 \rangle + \dots$ 



<sup>12</sup>C Electromagnetic Data Benhar *et al.* RMP80(2008)198 Observed transverse enhancement explained by the combined effect of two-body correlations and currents in the interference term

 $\langle \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \ \mathbf{j}_{1b} \rangle > 0$ 

Leading one-body term

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \langle \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \ \mathbf{j}_{2b} \ v_{\pi} \rangle \propto \langle v_{\pi}^{2} \rangle > 0 \\ & \text{Interference term} \end{array}$$



Transverse/Longitudinal Sum Rule Carlson *et al.* PRC65(2002)024002

# **Beyond Inclusive: Short-Time-Approximation**

Short-Time-Approximation Goals:

- Describe electroweak scattering from A
  > 12 without losing two-body physics
- Account for exclusive processes
- Incorporate relativistic effects





### Stanford Lab article

Subedi et al. Science320(2008)1475

# Short-Time-Approximation

Short-Time-Approximation:

- Based on Factorization
- Allows to retain both two-body correlations and currents at the vertex
- Provides "more" exclusive information in terms of nucleon-pair kinematics via the Response Densities
- Response functions are given by the scattering from pairs of fully interacting nucleons that propagate into a correlated pair of nucleons
- Correctly accounts for interference

#### **Response Functions**

$$R_{\alpha}(q,\omega) = \sum_{f} \delta\left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) \left|\langle f | O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle\right|^2$$

**Response Densities** 

$$R(q,\omega) \sim \int \delta \left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) dP' dp' \mathcal{D}(p',P';q)$$

*P*' and *p*' are the CM and relative momenta of the struck nucleon pair



# Transverse Response Density: *e*-<sup>4</sup>He scattering

Transverse Density q = 500 MeV/c



SP et al. PRC101(2020)044612

# *e*-<sup>4</sup>He scattering in the back-to-back kinematic





SP et al. PRC101(2020)044612

# Summary and Outlook

Ab initio calculations of light nuclei yield a picture of nuclear structure and dynamics where many-body effects play an essential role to explain available data.

This is found in a wide range of energy and momentum transferred and in both static and dynamical observables.

Light to low and medium mass nuclei are promising candidates for precision tests and studies of fundamental symmetries and neutrino physics.

In these systems, the existing computational methods allow to retain the complexity of many-body correlations and currents.

The QMC community is addressing larger nuclear systems, developing new algorithms that allow to retain two-body physics (correlations and currents) in lepton-nucleus scattering, and studying observables in kinematic regions of interest to experimental programs in BSM and precision physics.

# Strategy

### Validate the Nuclear Model against available data for strong and electroweak observables

- Energy Spectra, Electromagnetic Form Factors, Electromagnetic Moments, ...
- Electromagnetic and Beta decay rates, ...
- Muon Capture Rates, ...
- Electron-Nucleus Scattering Cross Sections, ...

### Use attained information to make (accurate) predictions for BSM searches and precision tests

- EDMs, Anapole Moments, Hadronic PV, ...
- BSM searches with beta decay, ...
- Neutrinoless double beta decay, ...
- Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering Cross Sections, ...
- ...

# Collaborators

WashU: Andreoli Bub King Piarulli LANL: Baroni Carlson Cirigliano Gandolfi Hayes Mereghetti JLab+ODU: Schiavilla ANL: Lovato Rocco Wiringa UCSD/UW: Dekens Pisa U/INFN: Kievsky Marcucci Viviani Salento U: Girlanda Huzhou U: Dong Wang











Washington University in St.Louis **ARTS & SCIENCES** 



Theory Alliance FACILITY FOR RARE ISOTOPE BEAMS

